



A-level Computer Science Teacher Standardisation - NEA

Martyn Colliver

This meeting will be recorded

Exam boards have an Ofqual requirement to record event audio.

Recordings are kept for one year and not shared as an accompaniment to session resources.

The recording will begin now.

Schedule for the day

- Session One:** Welcome and introductions
Session Two: The NEA
Session Three: Blind Mark Project - Snakes and Ladders
Session Four: Discussion of Blind Mark Project
Session Five: Mark project against log sheet - Project Icarus
Session Six: Discussion of project marks
Session Seven: Mark project against log sheet - Graph Tutor
Session Eight: Discussion of project marks
Session Nine: Further resources/questions

3

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Resources for standardisation

Projects used for moderator standardisation:

Day 1	
Stressage 2 Dressage	marked blind
Project Icarus	moderated against a completed log sheet
Project Disease Simulator	moderated against a completed log sheet
Day 2	
Anti-Virus Software	marked blind
Graph Teacher	moderated against a completed log sheet
Snakes and Ladders	moderated against a completed log sheet
Admin process	
Statements for centre feedback forms	

4

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



The NEA

The non-examined assessment (NEA) is the opportunity for a student to take on a project over a long period of time.

The student is asked to perform either a problem-based or investigatory-based project.

They will complete the following documentation:
Analysis, Documented Design, Technical Solution, Testing, Evaluation.

The NEA

It is important that the marker has had first-hand experience of the solution working so that they can use this when marking the various sections.

Moderators are asked to look at the project coding and consider what features it actually has.

This is considered more important when a project lacks a good design and/or full testing.

The NEA - what has changed from COMP4?

A reduction in the amount of documentation required - no system maintenance, user manual.

Significant increase in the technical solution marks (20 - 42).

The NEA - what has changed from COMP4?

A requirement, therefore, for an appreciation as to the complexity of the technical solution and this should be evidenced across:

- **Analysis** – objectives set that clearly indicate algorithmic challenge
- **Documented Design** – sections of design clearly set out how algorithms work and are processed
- **Technical Solution** – signposting to the 'complex' parts of the code
- **Testing** – it can clearly be seen that the complex parts of the system work

Purpose of standardisation meetings

- To consider the marking of the NEA project against the current standard.
- To 'standardise' on a selection of projects...
- ...Even though you may have disagreements with the 'standard' - this is what shall be applied during the moderation process.
- To allow discussion about projects and marking.
- To look at the moderation process.

9

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Awarding marks - NEA

Analysis	9
Documented design	12
Technical solution	
Completeness	15
Technical skills	27
Testing	8
Evaluation	4
Total available	75

10

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Teachers are asked to...

Draw the moderator's attention to where candidates have achieved criteria.

- This can be done by writing comments and linking to pages using the project log.
- This can be done by annotating the documentation to highlight certain parts (this could be especially useful for the technical skills section).
- Encouraging candidates to also help by setting out documentation carefully and providing an 'overview guide' for the technical solution to highlight where technical skills can be evidenced.

A well completed project log/centre assessment really helps the moderator when looking at centre work.

11


Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Providing evidence for the moderator

Project: Hearthstone Card Game			
Section	Commentary	Level	Grade
Analysis	There is a clear understanding of the problem to be solved - a computer based card game with an AI option to allow players to play against the machine. There is also research about the Monte Carlo tree search and its possible use. The candidate has interviewed a fellow games developer as well as collected feedback by setting up an online forum. The actual usefulness of this as presented is questionable although there is evidence that it has informed the objectives. The objectives are clear. There is a set of extension objectives that goes beyond the requirements of A level. The student has presented material of the Monte Carlo Tree search. I'll comment areas of use of example card game approaches would be discussed. An interview with a games developer is present page X. The candidate is clearly competent and has set himself an ambitious complex project with attainable objectives. Objectives - p6 Table of objects - p7 Analysis class diagram - p8 Interview p10 Monte Carlo Tree Search research - p12	Level 3	8
Documented Design	The design is fully documented. The candidate has a complete understanding of what they were trying to and have achieved. Fully comprehensive with all aspects of the code fully presented. The AI algorithm has been discussed in great detail. Other algorithms presented in class definitions would benefit from annotation PFD - p17 LMB - p18 PFD and game process p 23 - 27 Monte Carlo Tree Search algorithm - p28-34 Class definition and algorithms - p34 GUI rationale - p41	Level 4	12

Making use of a centre designed evidence form.



Placing comments into the documentation.

12

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Moderators seek to agree

The moderator is looking to agree with your marking and will use the following as evidence when moderating the work:

- documentation provided
- the completed project log
- any annotation of the documentation.

A-level standard

Project tasks that are not of A-level standard

If the task (problem or investigation) selected for a project is **not of A-level standard**, mark the project against the criteria given, but adjust, the mark awarded **downwards by two marking levels** (two marks in the case of evaluation) in each section for all but the technical solution.

A-level standard

Why might a project not be A-level standard?

- Check against objectives.
- Check against data model/algorithms.

If you are not sure whether a project is A-level standard please contact your NEA advisor.

A-level standard

When marking:

- Read just the analysis (as a whole) and consider the objectives:
 - Is this an A-level project? **Yes/no/could be?**
 - If could be - look at **Testing** section and consider again.
- Contact your NEA adviser to discuss.

What is A-level standard and the 15 complete test?

Stock-Control System

Village Hall Booking System

Noughts and Crosses

It should be clear from the **analysis** stage that the student is attempting a project that is of an A-level standard...

17

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



What is A-level standard and the 15 complete test?

Stock-Control System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one file? (No supplier or tracking of sales) • doesn't detect if selling too much stock? A-level standard? 15 complete?
Village Hall Booking System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no display of calendar of events • ability to over book events • events can run past closing time A-level standard? 15 complete?
Noughts and Crosses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • just plays noughts and crosses • does have GUI A-level standard? 15 complete?

It should be clear from the **analysis** stage that the student is attempting a project that is of an A-level standard...

18

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



The candidate record form

AQA
Assessment & Qualifications Alliance

2017 candidate record form
A-level Computer Science
Computing practical project (7517C)

Please attach the form to your candidate's work and keep it at the centre or send it to the moderator as required. The annotations should be completed by the candidate and teacher as indicated.

Centre number: _____ Centre name: _____

Candidate number: _____ Candidate's full name: _____

Mark submitted for assessment based on the candidate's best. If candidate copy work, allow candidate to copy their best, or best in any other way, they may be awarded.

Candidate declaration:
Have you received information from anyone other than subject teacher(s) to produce this work?
 No Yes (see details below or on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please list below any books, websites or other materials (eg DVDs, software packages, internal information) used to complete the work and acknowledge it in the work itself. (Please copy material copied from other sources without acknowledgement to report on feedback sheet.)

From time to time we use anonymous extracts of candidate work (in paper form and electronically) within our systems related to business practice only. If you wish consent to AQA to use the content and your name in the above context or any use of extracts in an identifiable form, please tick the box below and complete the details. Candidates produced the attached work without assistance other than that which is acceptable under the scheme of assessment.

Candidate signature: _____ Date: _____

Teacher declaration:
I confirm the candidate's work was completed under the conditions set out by the specification. I have supervised the candidate in work and am satisfied on the basis of my knowledge that the work produced is solely that of the candidate.

Teacher signature: _____ Date: _____

Section A - Project background
To be completed by the candidate and returned to the teacher for approval before the project is started.

Project title: _____
Implementation language and/or platform/software to be used: _____
Name of final user and how this job fits in relation to the project: _____

Section B - Summary of marks
To be completed by the teacher.

Marks must be awarded in accordance with the instructions and others in the specification.

Section	Maximum	Mark awarded
1 Analysis	8	
2 Documentation design	12	
3 Technical solution	42	
4 Testing	8	
5 Evaluation	4	
Total mark	74	

Details of additional assistance given
Should have details of any assistance given to the candidate which is beyond that given to the class as a whole and beyond that described in the specification (partial or a separate sheet if necessary).

Concluding comments

To see how we comply with the Data Protection Act 1998 please see our Privacy Statement at aqa.org.uk/privacy

The project log

AQA
Assessment & Qualifications Alliance

2017 Project Log

Project title: _____
Candidate name: _____
Candidate number: _____
Centre name: _____
Centre number: _____

Section 1: Analysis

1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.10
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

Section 2: Documentation design

2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

Section 3: Technical solution

3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.10
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

Section 4: Testing

4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.10
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

Section 5: Evaluation

5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.10
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

Section 6: Concluding comments

Teacher signature: _____ Date: _____

Analysis

In the analysis section we are looking for:

- A detailed description of the problem/investigation.
- Clear evidence that research has been performed (dialogue).
- A clear set of objectives that will be useful across the later sections of the project.
- Modelling of the proposed solution that will be of use to later design work.

Having read the analysis stage:

- Do you understand what the project is going to do?
- Has the student set clear objectives that are detailed enough?

Documented Design

In the documented design section we are looking for:

- An overview to the whole system design (module breakdown/objects/units/web pages...).
- Clear design and detail for some of the complex algorithms.
- Detail for the data to be used (database design/data structure design).

Documented Design

Having read the documented design:

- Do you understand how the project is going to work as a whole?
- Do you have a clear understanding as to how some of the complex algorithms will work?
- Do you understand how data will be processed/structured?

Technical Solution - Completeness

In the completeness section we are looking for a consideration as to:

- Has the project met the objectives set by the student in the analysis stage?
- How well have these objectives been met (consideration to HCI/features)?
- Does the technical solution match the original project background description?

Completeness should also be measured against the suitability of the project for A-level.

SC1

A slide used with the moderators about completeness

Completeness

Look left:
analysis/requirements/
objectives

Look right:
testing/evaluation

Cross the road:
any 'pot holes' in the code
(key missing features)



Abbey Road by Miquel C (CC BY)

25

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Technical Solution - Technical skills

In the technical skills section we are looking for a marker to:

- Identify parts of the code where complexity is clearly evident and map to Group A/B/C (This can be helped by a student producing an overview guide).
- Place consideration into the coding style and comment upon this.
- Consider the overall effectiveness of the final solution (does it work how it should...)
 - Have algorithms been used for the 'correct' reasons?
 - For example:
 - Merge sort not just used to sort a list of ten values.
 - Login system appropriate for solution developed.

26

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Slide 25

SC1 Do we have copyright approval saved somewhere for this image? If not, please remove.

Also, is this title right?

Susie, 31/01/2018

Testing

In the testing section we are looking for:

- Clear evidence that the core requirements have been tested against.
- Clear evidence that the system works as a whole (run through of the whole process/system).
- Robustness - does it work with a reasonable amount of data/amount of activity.
- Video evidence can work very well (we have one example of this today).

Evaluation

In the evaluation section we are looking for:

- Clear reflection upon the objectives set in the analysis stage.
 - More than a yes/no but consideration as to how well the objectives has been met and, possibly, how on reflection it could have been improved/done differently.
- Feedback from a variety of users and then a reflection upon this.
- Consideration of the above two activities into how the solution could be extended/improved in the future and what that might mean in terms of implementation.

The NEA – any questions?

29

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Blind marking of a project

- We are going to spend an hour looking at a project.
- I would like you to mark it as a teacher (but without access to the student)...
- So only going on the evidence in the documentation.

This is an exercise to allow us to consider how a teacher might see a project and also think about our role as a marker.

- What are we looking for?
- How do we provide evidence of our marking?

Having marked the project this exercise will then allow us to consider the 'standard' from 2017 and then discuss and differences/concerns.

30

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

	A-level standard?	Analysis	D Design	Completeness	T Skills	Testing	Evaluation	Total
Standard								

31

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

Analysis

- Is snakes and ladders an appropriate game to consider for an A-level project?
- Some research but not much dialogue.
- Aims and objectives are weak (nothing to indicate algorithmic complexity).
- Moderated at 4.
- Project decided as 'not A-level standard' - so mark reduced to 1.

32

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

Documented design:

- Design leaps into algorithm design with no real 'introduction/breakdown'.
- Perhaps should start with 'the board' and how this will be displayed/stored.
- Is it clear that the 'snake' and 'ladder' will only take up one space?
- No consideration into the 'problems' that the game could face (a snake above a ladder...) - but in code.
- HCI needs some annotation and discussion to raise the quality of this section.

- Moderated at 5 (reduced to 1).

33

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

Completeness

- Due to the issues with what complexity to solution provides the project is placed into the middle band. (Basically considered skills of a good/top GCSE student).

- Not always effective in implementation.

- Moderated at 8.

34

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

Technical skills

- Shows a variety of group B skills - so placed into the middle band.
- Can Load/Save games to a file.
- Does make some attempt at OOP (mainly through use of a framework).

- Moderated at 14.

35

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

Testing

- A reasonable attempt made at the testing.
- Might be improved by submitting video evidence as well.
- Is it clear that the 'whole system' has been tested?

- Moderated at 6 (reduced to 2).

36

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from snakes and ladders

Evaluation

- Feedback from users is present.
- Student consideration of objectives could be improved.
- No 'in depth' consideration of any improvements.

- Moderated at 2 (reduced to 1).

37

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Project Icarus

- We are going to spend an hour looking at a project.
- I would like you to mark it as a moderator.
- You have a copy of the 'teacher comments'.

This is an exercise to allow us to consider how a moderator might see a project and also think about our role as a marker

- what are we looking for?
- have the teacher comments helped when moderating the project?
- how could the teacher/student have set the project out better?

38

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Project Icarus

	A-level standard?	Analysis	D Design	Completeness	T Skills	Testing	Evaluation	Total
CENTRE	Y	8	12	15	26	8	4	73
Standard								

39

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Project Icarus

Analysis

- A detailed analysis (lots of nice technical detail providing clear signposts to complexity).
- Good evidence of user involvement through dialogue.
- Excellent list of objectives - clearly separated, signposting techniques, SMART.
- Perhaps lacks some modelling at the end of the analysis stage.
- Can agree with centre mark of 8 (but why not 9...)

40

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Project Icarus

Documented design:

- Effective design.
- Nice breakdowns and overview of the parts of the implementation.
- Sketched UI with good information about how it will operate.
- Example data included (p41).
- Pseudocode/flowcharts/nice whole system diagram (p49).

- Can agree with centre mark of 12.

41

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Project Icarus

Completeness

- Clearly meets all objectives.
- Clearly an A-level project (with some interesting ideas).

- Happy with centre mark of 15.

Technical skills

- Wide variety of technical skills.
- Byte manipulation/Communications/Classes/Interfacing with sensors/Image manipulation.

- Can agree with centre mark of 26 (why not 27?)

42

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Project Icarus

Testing

- Testing of comms.
- Testing of image taking/Nice modular testing.
- Test of client.
- Working through tests and attempting to 'prove' that sections work.
- Video demonstrates system working.
- Whole system test (the balloon went up and did 2 flights).

- Happy to agree with centre mark of 8.

43

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Project Icarus

Evaluation

- Evaluation against objectives (with critical comments/suggestions).
- Feedback from users (which is relevant) - commentary against this feedback.
- Suggestions for future (with discussion as to implementation).

- Happy to agree with centre mark of 4.

44

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Graph Tutor

- We are going to spend an hour looking at a project.
- I would like you to mark it as a moderator.
- You have a copy of the 'teacher comments'.

This is an exercise to allow us to consider how a moderator might see a project and also think about our role as a marker

- what are we looking for?
- have the teacher comments helped when moderating the project?
- how could the teacher/student have set the project out better?

45

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Graph Tutor

	A-level standard?	Analysis	D Design	Completeness	T Skills	Testing	Evaluation	Total
Centre	Y	9	12	15	24	5	4	69
Standard								

46

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Graph Tutor

Analysis

- Good initial discussion over BFS, DFS and A*.
- Not much dialogue with the user to inform decisions.
- Objectives are broken down well.
- Not much modelling at the end of the analysis section (however some work on classes).
- Not much detail in the analysis about how the key points made in the 'introduction' section are to be considered .
- Moderated at 7 marks (clearly A-level standard).

47

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Graph Tutor

Documented design

- Good overview as to how the system will work (through talking about the UI p16-18).
- Would be nice to have some 'sketches' to demonstrate a run through for one of the searches.
- How is the grid going to be displayed/organised?
- Detail about data structures...?
- Moderated at 9 marks.

48

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Graph Tutor

Completeness

- Objectives - clearly met.
- System objective - is this met? - does it 'tutor' students?
- Was decided that it did not really provide any tutoring.
- Whilst it draws a DFS,BFS,A* this is 'very fast' and it is not clear why and how it works...

- Moderated at 10 marks (key system objective not met).

Feedback from Graph Tutor

Technical skills

- Good demonstration of skills - easy to find some group A algorithms.
- Happy to accept centre mark.

- Moderated at 24 marks.

Feedback from Graph Tutor

Testing

- Video helps to show how the system works.
- Centre identifies a few problems with the testing.

- Moderated at 5 marks (happy to agree with centre).

51

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Feedback from Graph Tutor

Evaluation

- Reasonable attempt at all tasks.
- Would be nice to see an attempt to provide 'detail' for one of the potential improvements.
- No real consideration against the system objective (from introduction of analysis).

- Moderated at 3 marks.

- Centre awarded 69 marks (A* territory - is this an A* project).
Moderated at 58 marks (top B grade).

52

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Other resources

Other resources available to help with marking the NEA:

Standardised projects from 2017

- Disease Simulator: Centre marked at 46. Moderated at 35
- Stressage 2 Dressage: Another 'not A-level standard' project.

53

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



Other resources

Other moderated projects

CodeLine (70)
 Card Game (69)
 Julia Set (65)
 Simulating Charges (52)
 Subject Booking (32)
 Battleships (14)

Your NEA adviser

54

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



The NEA – any questions?

55

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



How did we do?

Please take a moment to complete a brief evaluation form for today's event. Your feedback is very important to us as it helps us improve and plan future training.

You should have been emailed the evaluation form. Please check your inbox (possibly your junk mail folder). If you haven't received it please give your trainer your name, centre name/number and email address so that we can look into it for you.

Thank you.

56

Copyright © AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.





Thank you